

194: Reference L.12 (p), what specifically is required for inclusion in “full and complete information”?

Answer: The RFP contains instructions in different sections, such as Sections L.12, L.13, L.14 and L.15 regarding the different types of information that offerors are required to submit regarding its joint venture members, members of LLCs and major subcontractors. Any and all information that is provided in response to the instructions is to be full and complete and adequately address the specific requirements in the instructions. Offerors are in the best position to have knowledge of its own internal information/documents and the information contained in the documents. The types of required information are set forth in each section. For example, L.12(p) requires the offeror to discuss the roles and responsibilities of each joint venture and/or LLC member. Section L.13(b)(4) requires the offeror to provide “copies or drafts of applicable joint venture and/or LLC agreements ... regarding the extent and nature of the work to be performed...” Sections L.14, Volume II, Technical and Business Management Proposal Preparation Instructions and L.15, Volume III, Cost and Fee Proposal Preparation Instructions also contain the type of information that is to be submitted. For example, see Section L.15(n) regarding the information that must be provided regarding the Limitations on Subcontracting. Offerors are cautioned to provide all of the information stated in all of the sections of the RFP.

195: Attachment J (D1), Portsmouth Government Furnished Property, lists some property, however there is no listing of personal property for job completion and there is no split of GFP between Infrastructure and BJC as the Site Remediation Contractor. With the split of contracting responsibility, is it proper to assume that the appropriate distribution of government furnished property necessary to complete the assigned scope of work will be transferred from BJC to the successful bidder? Items for example would include furniture, personal computers, scanners, hand tools, lawn maintenance items, etc.

Is the infrastructure service contractor expected to furnish equipment such as lawn mowers, etc., or are they GFP?

Section J, Attachment D-2. Mowing equipment such as tractors and “bush hogs” are not indicated to be furnished by DOE. Will DOE provide tractors and “bush hogs”? If this equipment is not DOE furnished, should bidders include the supply of this equipment as needed to fulfill the SOW requirements?

There does not appear to be any power or hand tools, meters, or other diagnostic equipment required to perform routine preventive and corrective maintenance in the list of Government Furnished Equipment for Paducah. Should

the Infrastructure contractor assume that none will be provided during turnover from BJC?

Answer: The D(1) and D(2) attachments to section J in the solicitation contain property available for the infrastructure contractor's exclusive use. Available personal computers and terminals are included on the list. There is administratively controlled property that will be furnished to the contractors that is considered government personal property which is not required to be inventoried pursuant to Government Property Regulations. For the purpose of proposal preparation, offerors should assume that this type of appropriate equipment, excluding mowing equipment, will be available as GFP for the infrastructure contractors' use.

196: Please identify facilities available for contractor use onsite at Paducah. Only one facility C412-T01 is identified for onsite use. Facilities needed on site for use include:

- vehicle maintenance
- change out areas
- break room
- receiving area
- warehouse storage area (bulk materials like salt)
- parking area (vehicles and equipment)
- office space for Infrastructure staff

Answer: See Questions and Answers section of the infrastructure RFP website for previously issued related answers. Amendment 0002 to the solicitation was issued which also addresses this issue. The DOE is only providing the facilities stated in the RFP and in any amendments thereto. The only onsite vehicle maintenance facility is leased to USEC. Current practice is to have vehicle maintenance done offsite. No change out or break room areas are available. No receiving area exists. The infrastructure contractor may use facilities for which it has operational responsibility for its day-to-day operations. Warehouses are identified on the facilities list (Section J, attachments C(1) and C(2)) in the solicitation. Bulk salt is currently stored in X-744B (which is controlled by USEC) and other temporary storage for bagged salt at Portsmouth and in C-103, C-746-A, C-746-B, C-746-H3, C-752, C-752-A, C-753-A and Office trailer, C-733, C-746-Q West, C-612 and trailers, C-614, C-743 (T-01, T-02, T-03, T-05, T-09, & T-17), C-755 (T-01, T-02, T-03, T-04, T-05, T-06, T-07, T-08, & T-09), C-730 Trailers, and C-746-U at Paducah. Some of the bulk salt storage areas may be located inside buildings that are under the control of the remediation contractor or USEC and, therefore, require working arrangements with them to access the salt unless it is relocated. Parking areas that are not identified for USEC or DOE use are available for use by the infrastructure contractor and any other onsite contractors. The infrastructure contractor is responsible for providing any space needed for its personnel that is not provided by the government.

Contractors are not permitted to purchase real estate as a cost that is reimbursable by the government. Leases or rental agreements are subject to DOE approval.

197: [Relative to C.1.V.N.1] Does DOE expect the infrastructure contractor to provide presentation development services including graphic development for briefings, public hearings, meetings and other occasions which include PowerPoint, slides, and/or other viewgraph presentation development?

Answer: Yes.

198: Page C-15, Section C.2, Paragraph II (Background). The text indicates that the infrastructure contractor will be required to interface with a number of other entities that will be operating on-site. The text lists USEC, Uranium Disposition Services LLC, and Bechtel Jacobs LLC. Will a comprehensive list of entities be available prior to the due date for proposal submittal?

Answer: The entities listed in the solicitation are the major concerns anticipated to be onsite when the infrastructure services contract is awarded. The identity of the new contractor remediation and its subcontractors are not known at this time. Additionally, the onsite entities will change over the course of the contract. Specific interface responsibilities are indicated in the infrastructure statements of work. All specific and other general interfaces must be accomplished in a cooperative manner that allows all onsite parties to perform the effort they are to accomplish.

199: The list of Government Furnished Equipment for Paducah does not include any radiation surveying or monitoring equipment, or dosimetry equipment. Does DOE intend the Infrastructure contractor to furnish this equipment as a reimbursable item?

Answer: There is additional Government Furnished Property (GFP) not reflected in the current GFP lists that is available for the contractors' use. During the transition period, DOE will allocate the additional property between the contractors. For the purpose of proposal preparation, offerors should assume that this type of equipment will be available as GFP for use.

200: For the Infrastructure Contract, waste characterization is to end at initial characterization (up to the point of acceptance by the Site Remediation Contractor.) Without knowing what the Site Remediation acceptance levels are, can we assume that initial includes primarily process knowledge and screening

type characterization and not laboratory sampling and analysis costs? If the answer to the above question is "no", then can we have access to the types of waste characterization analyses (i.e., radiological and chemical "RCRA" type analyses) performed in 2002 or 2003, as well as the quantities of samples/analyses for waste related activities.

Answer: DOE anticipates that the remediation contractor will require only process knowledge and screening type characterization for wastes generated by the infrastructure contractor. The infrastructure contractor is expected to generate only a limited amount of waste for radiological sampling as well as standard industrial wastes like used motor oil, lubricants, etc. in relation to its own work.

201: Section J Attachment D(2) for Paducah shows a sanitary sewage system (2 each), water utility (2 each), electrical utilities and a sanitary water system assigned to the Infrastructure Contractor as Government Furnished Property. Section J, Attachment C(2) assigns operational and S&M responsibility for these facilities to the remediation contractor. Is the infrastructure contractor responsible for property records only? Please clarify infrastructure contractor's responsibility for these items.

Answer: The infrastructure contractor is not responsible for the operation of the systems identified above. The infrastructure contractor has responsibilities as detailed in the Covered Facilities attachment to Section J of the solicitation in addition to responsibilities related to site property as detailed in Sections C.1 and C.2, paragraph V.H of the solicitation. The solicitation will be amended to delete these items.

202: The document posted by DOE regarding BJC historical staffing/costs indicates 8 FTEs perform the Site Security duties at Paducah. This number only includes BJC personnel. There are subcontract personnel also supporting this activity. Please include subcontractor FTEs in this area as well as any others. Otherwise companies who are familiar with the scope will be penalized for having better insight into the actual staffing required to perform the scope as written than those companies who rely purely on the data from this document.

Answer: Recent information provided for Paducah indicated a total of 12 FTEs for Site Security including subcontracted FTEs except USEC. The number of FTEs corresponds to the number of FTEs currently used to perform the scope of work that has been included in the infrastructure statement of work for Paducah.

203: Section C.2.K.6 requires contractor to perform radiological surveys for its own work. The next sentence and the corresponding WBS refers only to surveys

of mowing equipment. Please clarify. Does DOE expect the Infrastructure contractor to only have a radiation protection program to cover surveys of mowing equipment? What about surveys and other Radcon program elements required during S&M and dosimetry/bioassay services for its employees?

Answer: The infrastructure contractor's radiation protection program should include all appropriate work under the solicitation statements of work. The infrastructure contractor is expected to have a radiation protection program to cover surveys and other Radcon program elements required for such areas as surveillance and maintenance and dosimetry/bioassay services for its employees or subcontractors. See solicitation statements of work C.1 and C.2 sections V.K.6 and V.K.7.

204: The Bechtel Jacobs historical data obtained from the Infrastructure and Remediation (Shared) Reference Documents shows janitorial supplies for the Paducah Site for August 2001 through August 2003 was approximately \$941,305 for 6.5 FTEs. For the Portsmouth Site the material costs plus pest control supplies is reported to be \$50,834 and 13.25 FTEs assigned. The Paducah Site materials data does not appear to be appropriate for the number of FTEs. Does the Paducah Site figure include a janitorial subcontract? If so, is the subcontract in addition to the 6.5 FTEs? Please verify the material costs and FTEs assigned.

Answer: The Paducah materials amount includes the cost of procured services as well as supplies; however, the 6.5 FTEs include both contractor and subcontractor personnel.

205: Does the infrastructure contractor need to provide the photo and fabrication equipment required for the preparation of security badges or will DOE provide this equipment?

Answer: Badge services are currently provided by a multi-site subcontract. The equipment necessary to provide these services at the Portsmouth and Paducah Sites is being purchased and will be provided to the infrastructure contractors for use.

206: Regarding custodial services: What is the square footage of snow removal for sidewalks, and which parking lots are to be cleared?

Answer: Within the infrastructure statements of work the snow removal areas are: Total Portsmouth snow removal and salting surfaces, including roadways, are estimated at 350,000 sq. ft. Portsmouth sidewalks are estimated at 22,000 sq. ft. and the parking areas are

identified as X-2207E, and areas adjacent to Buildings X3012 and X-7725. Paducah sidewalks plus access to trailers, sealand containers and miscellaneous areas are estimated to be 488,000 sq.ft. Paducah parking areas (estimated at 165,000 sq. ft.) are identified as those adjacent to Buildings C-100-B, C-103, C-331-C, C-412, and C-755. All Paducah parking is gravel surfaced except C-103 which has a paved surface of 22,995.

207: Is the current Radiation Protection Plan for Paducah available for review and possible adoption and/or revision?

Answer: The Radiation Protection Program document covering both sites has been posted.

208: The Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant Site Map Indicating Mowing Zones does not include a complete legend, i.e., the various zones are not identified. Please clarify.

Answer: The mowing zone map for Paducah did not include the areas listed below because they could not be graphically represented on the map. The areas which are described below are included in the approximate 611.9 acres specified in the solicitation statement of work.

Zone F-11 is the fenced area located in the Kentucky Ordinance Works west of Rice Springs Road.

Zone G consists of a 5 by 5 foot area around each of the 215 DOE boundary markers (orange carsonite posts).

Zone H consists of the area surrounding each of 256 monitoring wells located both inside and outside the plant security fence.

209: For the infrastructure contractor(s), are there certain assigned areas in the buildings and grounds areas at both Portsmouth and Paducah that are contaminated? If so, who will be responsible for characterizing and remediating these areas?

Answer: The infrastructure contractors will be required to perform some work in contaminated areas both inside and outside of buildings. Final characterization and remediation is not included in the infrastructure statements of work. However, infrastructure contractor activities requiring radiological and/or contamination controls shall be implemented in accordance with Radiological Control Plans which includes initial characterization.

210: Please provide DOE guidance on dollar values for equipment purchases where the Infrastructure contractor would be required to make lease versus buy decisions for purposes of providing prices for this proposal.

Answer: The contractor should consider whether to lease or purchase equipment based upon a case by case evaluation of comparative cost and other factors. There is no specific dollar threshold in DOE for a lease versus buy decision. The decision should be based upon a sound business decision. Further details and information pertaining to equipment lease or purchase can be found in FAR Subpart 7.4. entitled Equipment Lease or Purchase.

211: The Bechtel Jacobs historical data obtained from the Infrastructure and Remediation (Shared) Reference Documents shows the Paducah sampling, laboratory analysis and preliminary characterization cost for FY 2003 was \$5.2 million for 7712 samples. At Portsmouth the cost was \$616,320 for 10,950 samples. Please provide the percentage of cost, for each site, that is applicable to only the infrastructure contract.

Answer: The historical costs do not include costs for actual gathering of samples or "preliminary characterization." It is estimated that laboratory analysis costs related to work under the infrastructure statements of work are approximately three percent of the samples at each site. Sample numbers quoted were only for those analyzed by USEC.

212: In the Bechtel Jacobs historical data provided under "Sampling, Laboratory Analysis, and Preliminary Characterization," it does indicate total numbers of samples per matrix type (i.e. water, oil, etc) and a total cost. It does not indicate, however, the types of analyses performed for each. Can we be provided the types of analyses performed for the samples identified? Do these total numbers include both the infrastructure and site remediation components or are these just for infrastructure? If it's for both, can we obtain a breakout for just the infrastructure?

Answer: The Bechtel Jacobs (BJC) historical data is the total numbers of samples for the BJC scope of work and therefore, the total numbers of samples per matrix type do not reflect the division of work responsibilities as provided in the infrastructure and remediation RFPs. Offerors are reminded that specific analysis types cannot be correlated to either the infrastructure or remediation SOW and the specific types of analysis for the infrastructure SOW will be directly related to the SOW and the approach to work proposed by the offeror. It is the offeror's responsibility to plan and estimate costs related to sampling and laboratory analysis required to support their proposed approach to accomplishing the work.

213: There is currently no data available for the current FTE staffing for waste management and pollution prevention. It is understood that waste management forecasting is complex and fluctuates from year to year, but can the FTE staffing from last year be provided? If not, can the forecast for potential newly generated waste streams be provided?

Answer: The offeror is expected to determine its own staffing levels in accordance with its approach to accomplishing the SOW. The specific staffing for waste management and pollution prevention is dependent upon the offeror's individual staffing levels, the structure of its organization, and the assignment of work areas. Past environmental reports submitted by BJC are posted at [http://www.bechteljacobs.com/ports\\_reports.shtml](http://www.bechteljacobs.com/ports_reports.shtml) for Portsmouth and [http://www.bechteljacobs.com/pad\\_reports\\_aser-02.shtml](http://www.bechteljacobs.com/pad_reports_aser-02.shtml) for Paducah.

214: It appears that planned future remediation activities will not significantly impact Infrastructure sampling/monitoring costs. In other words, it appears that the majority of the sampling required for the Infrastructure Contract is in support of long-term stewardship, not remediation, and once the remaining remediation projects are completed, these sites will not significantly increase the long-term monitoring requirements. Are these assumptions correct, or can you provide clarification?

Answer: The infrastructure contractor is to perform the work indicated in the infrastructure solicitation statements of work. Long-term stewardship is not within the scope of the solicitation. The sampling/monitoring to be performed by the infrastructure contractor supports its work only.